OSPAR COMMISSION

Background Document for Portuguese dogfish *Centroscymnus coelolepis*

Biodiversity Series

2009

OSPAR Convention

The Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (the “OSPAR Convention”) was opened for signature at the Ministerial Meeting of the former Oslo and Paris Commissions in Paris on 22 September 1992. The Convention entered into force on 25 March 1998. It has been ratified by Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Iceland, Ireland, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom and approved by the European Community and Spain.

Convention OSPAR

La Convention pour la protection du milieu marin de l'Atlantique du Nord-Est, dite Convention OSPAR, a été ouverte à la signature à la réunion ministérielle des anciennes Commissions d'Oslo et de Paris, à Paris le 22 septembre 1992. La Convention est entrée en vigueur le 25 mars 1998. La Convention a été ratifiée par l'Allemagne, la Belgique, le Danemark, la Finlande, la France, l’Irlande, l’Islande, le Luxembourg, la Norvège, les Pays-Bas, le Portugal, le Royaume-Uni de Grande Bretagne et d’Irlande du Nord, la Suède et la Suisse et approuvée par la Communauté européenne et l’Espagne.

Acknowledgement

This report has been prepared by the “Marine and Coastal Nature Conservation Unit” of the German Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (BfN) in collaboration with Dr. Sarah Fowler, Naturebureau International, UK

Photo acknowledgement

Cover page: © NOAA

Contents

OSPAR Background Document for Portuguese dogfish *Centroscymnus coelolepis*

Executive Summary

This background document on the Portuguese dogfish - *Centroscymnus coelolepis* - has been developed by OSPAR following the inclusion of this species on the OSPAR List of threatened and/or declining species and habitats (OSPAR Agreement 2008-6). The document provides a compilation of the reviews and assessments that have been prepared concerning this species since the agreement to include it in the OSPAR List in 2008. The original evaluation used to justify the inclusion of *C.coelolepis* in the OSPAR List is followed by an assessment of the most recent information on its status (distribution, population, condition) and key threats prepared during 2009-2010. Chapter 7 provides proposals for the actions and measures that could be taken to improve the conservation status of the species. In agreeing to the publication of this document, Contracting Parties have indicated the need to further review these proposals. Publication of this background document does not, therefore, imply any formal endorsement of these proposals by the OSPAR Commission. On the basis of the further review of these proposals, OSPAR will continue its work to ensure the protection of *C.coelolepis*, where necessary in cooperation with other competent organisations. This background document may be updated to reflect further developments or further information on the status of the species which becomes available.

Récapitulatif

Le présent document de fond sur le *Pailona commun* a été élaboré par OSPAR à la suite de l'inclusion de cette espèce dans la liste OSPAR des espèces et habitats menacés et/ou en déclin (Accord OSPAR 2008-6). Ce document comporte une compilation des revues et des évaluations concernant cette espèce qui ont été préparées depuis qu'il a été convenu de l'inclure dans la Liste OSPAR en 2008. L'évaluation d'origine permettant de justifier l'inclusion du *Pailona commun* dans la Liste OSPAR est suivie d'une évaluation des informations les plus récentes sur son statut (distribution, population, condition) et des menaces clés, préparée en 2009-2010. Le chapitre 7 fournit des propositions d'actions et de mesures qui pourraient être prises afin d'améliorer l'état de conservation de l'espèce. En se mettant d'accord sur la publication de ce document, les Parties contractantes ont indiqué la nécessité de réviser de nouveau ces propositions. La publication de ce document ne signifie pas, par conséquent que la Commission OSPAR entérine ces propositions de manière formelle. A partir de la nouvelle révision de ces propositions, OSPAR poursuivra ses travaux afin de s'assurer de la protection du *Pailona commun*, le cas échéant avec la coopération d'autres organisations compétentes. Ce document de fond pourra être actualisé pour tenir compte de nouvelles avancées ou de nouvelles informations qui deviendront disponibles sur l'état de l'espèce.

1. Background information

Name of species

Portuguese dogfish (*Centroscymnus coelolepis*) **Barbosa du Bocage & Brito Capello, 1864**

2. Original evaluation against the Texel-Faial selection criteria

List of OSPAR Regions and Dinter biogeographic zones where the species occurs

OSPAR Regions: The OSPAR List indicates that *C. Coelolepsis* occurs in I, II, III, IV. This updated assessment found no evidence that *C. coelolepsis* occurs in Region II and concludes that it is absent from this Region.

Biogeographic Zones: South Iceland-Faroe Shelf, West Norwegian subprovince, Skagerrak subprovince, Boreal, Boreal-Lusitanean, Lusitanean-Boreal, Warm Lusitanean subprovince, Cool Lusitanean subprovince, Azores subprovince (Macaronesian province), Arctic subregion, Atlantic Subregion (North Atlantic province).



Figure 1: Global distribution of *Centroscymnus coelolepis.* Records from the Azores are not shown. Source: Compagno *et al*. 2005

List of OSPAR Regions where the species is under threat and/or in decline

All where it occurs. Mainly in deep waters of I, III, IV, V.

Original evaluation against the Texel-Faial criteria for which the species was included on the OSPAR List

C. coelolepsis was nominated for inclusion in the OSPAR List in 2006 by both Germany and WWF

Table 1. Summary assessment of Portuguese Dogfish *Centroscymnus coelolepis* against the Texel-Faial criteria.

Criterion	Comments	Evaluation
Global importance	Widely distributed in the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans.	Does not qualify
Regional importance	There is likely a single stock of *C. coelolepis* in the /OSPAR Area. There may be some distinct local populations within this stock. At, The OSPAR Area is likely of regional importance at a stock level, but not at species level.	Does not qualify
Rarity	Not rare.	Does not qualify
Sensitivity	Very sensitive to depletion by fisheries. Life history characteristics are poorly known, but likely similar to that of related species (very slow growth, late maturity, long intervals between litters, and extreme longevity). Where catch per unit effort (CPUE) data are available for different locations, these are initially high, then decline quickly, suggesting that this species is sedentary. Recovery of depleted populations will be slow and likely take longer than 25 years, even if deepwater fisheries close and all bycatch ceases. If the species is sedentary, recolonisation of depleted stocks from neighbouring areas will also be extremely slow, and most unlikely to take place within 25 years	Qualifies
Keystone species	No information	Unknown
Decline	ICES considers that the stock is depleted. Declines within the OSPAR Area are estimated conservatively as greater than 50% and are possibly greater than 80% across the whole population. Recent landings have been much lower than the Total Allowable Catch (TAC) available and declining landings may reflect an overall decline in stocks, particularly in the north. Declines in deepwater fisheries for *C. coelolepis* are also reported from elsewhere in its global range.	Qualifies
Citations from the original proposal: Basson *et al*. (2002); ICES WGEF (2005, 2006, 2007); IUCN SSC Shark Specialist Group in prep.; Stevens and Correia 2003.		

3. Current status of the species

Distribution in OSPAR Maritime Area

Centroscymnus coelolepis inhabits continental and insular slopes and abyssal plains, on or near the bottom at depths of 270-3,675 m and temperatures of 5-13°C. In the OSPAR Maritime Area it occurs from Greenland to Iceland and the Faroe Banks south along the east Atlantic continental slope to Portugal, primarily in the deep waters of OSPAR Regions I, III, IV and V (Figure 1). All reproductive stages, including mature and pregnant females, occur in the OSPAR Area. There appears to be some vertical migration and the largest mature females move to shallower waters to give birth (Clarke *et al.*

2001), where they are more likely to be targeted by fisheries. Indeed, mature females (a large proportion of them pregnant) have been predominant in catches (ICES WGEF 2005); exploitation of this sector of the population is particularly damaging to the stock. The species is also widely distributed elsewhere in the Atlantic and in the Indian Ocean and Western Pacific.

Population (current/trends/future prospects)

There is no population estimate for *C. coelolepis* in the OSPAR Area, but where catch per unit effort (CPUE) data are available for several different fisheries in different areas, these are initially high, then decline quickly (Figure 2). Overall abundance has been declining steeply during the past 10–15 years. Although the species is widely distributed, very similar patterns of decline in different areas in different years and the presence of the same size range and maturity stages in both the northern and southern continental slopes may suggest that it is not highly migratory (ICES WGEF 2009).

Figure 2 presents CPUE trends. An overall decline in CPUE is reported in all areas exploited by French commercial trawlers, falling to 10% or less of the 1995 level by 2005. Similar CPUE data were obtained from Irish trawlers and some fishery-independent data. In 1975, 72% of hauls by Scottish Association for Marine Science surveys in the North-East Atlantic contained at least one specimen, declining to 12% in 1999. Because fishing effort moves rapidly between fishing grounds, overall catch and CPUE data for the whole of the ICES/OSPAR areas do not reflect overall stock status. Landings declined from around 10,000 t during 2001 to 2004, to about 2000 t in 2006, partly due to quota restrictions and partly to gillnet bans in some ICES Areas and international waters. Initially, landings were much lower than the Total Allowable Catch (TAC) available and this may have reflected an overall decline in stocks, particularly in the north. TACs are now restrictive.

The decline was originally most marked in the north of OSPAR Regions III and V. Declining yields and the introduction of management measures here resulted in the redirection of fishing effort to other areas, particularly Region IV, southern parts of Region V, and West Africa, as well as IUU fishing in international waters (ICES WGEF 2009). Because fishing effort moves rapidly between fishing grounds, overall catch and CPUE data for the whole of the ICES/OSPAR Area do not reflect overall stock status.

This decline will continue for as long as fisheries continue to exploit deepwater sharks within the species' range. Recovery of depleted populations will be slow and likely take longer than 25 years, even if deepwater fisheries close and all bycatch ceases. If the species is sedentary, recolonisation of depleted stocks from neighbouring areas will also be extremely slow, and most unlikely to take place within 25 years.



Figure 2: CPUE series for *Centroscymnus coelolepis* from trawl and longline fisheries and surveys
Source: ICES WGEF 2005

Condition (current/trends/future prospects)

The population of *C. coelolepis* in the OSPAR Area is severely depleted. Exploitation effort has been reduced, but is continuing and rapidly moves to new areas in response to depletion or the introduction of management measures. Large mature pregnant females are particularly vulnerable because they occur in slightly shallower water, where they are more likely to be targeted by fisheries. The biology of this species means that, even if/when all deepwater fisheries mortality ceases, recovery will be extremely slow (in the order of many decades).

Limitations in knowledge

Many countries exploiting deepwater fisheries in the OSPAR Area record 'siki' shark landings for *Centrophorus squamosus* and *Centroscymnus coelolepis* combined. Other countries report landings in generic categories such as 'various sharks nei'. Distribution, catch and catch per unit effort (CPUE) data are therefore incomplete for this species. The ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes compiled and reconstructed catch data in order to develop the estimates of recent and historic catches illustrated in Figure 2. It is unclear how commercial time series data are affected by changes in fishing patterns so estimates of exploitation rates are uncertain. Information on age and growth is also incomplete for these long-lived sharks and estimates of stock productivity are uncertain. The ICES WGEF has, for this reason, not been able to assess the stock and has noted that studies of biology and stock discrimination are required.

In response to a request from NEAFC in 2007 and building on the response given to an EC request in 2006, ICES WGDEEP made recommendations for the coordination of deepwater surveys in the NEAFC Convention Area (ICES WGEF 2007). These surveys will, it is hoped, provide better information for the assessment of the deepwater shark stocks present.

Meanwhile, ICES WGEF (2008, 2009) noted that species-specific landings data are still not presented for the two species of 'siki' shark by all ICES member countries, and that ICES considers that fisheries should not proceed in the absence of adequate data to assess their status, including reliable estimates of current exploitation rates and stock productivity, and that deepwater sharks should be managed in a multi-species context.

4. Evaluation of threats and impacts

The only threat to this deepwater species is capture in deepwater fisheries. It is targeted and by-catch is also utilised for its valuable meat and other products (fins, liver oil). This is a highly biologically-sensitive species with extremely low resilience to exploitation and very slow recovery. Fisheries mortality has been unsustainable and rapidly depletes local populations (Figure 2). Fishing effort is rapidly redirected to other areas when catches fall or regulations are introduced.

Bycatch mortality, whether discarded or utilised, poses a particular challenge for the management of deepwater sharks; these species cannot be returned alive following capture in commercial fisheries. Deepwater trawls, in particular, are not species-selective and take a by-catch of non-commercial species, including deepwater sharks (Allain *et al*. 2003). The long soak times and discards of nets from gillnet fisheries increase by-catch mortality (Hareide *et al*. 2005). ICES WGEF (2007) noted that there are no obvious measures that could mitigate bycatch of sharks in commercial deepwater fisheries. Preventing by-catch mortality will therefore be very difficult or impossible to achieve. Reduction of all catches in the mixed fisheries that take deepwater sharks as a bycatch will require a cut in overall fishing effort to the lowest possible level. Wilson *et al*. (2009), however, report that CSIRO tagging research has clearly shown that gulper sharks taken on longline gear and handled appropriately before being released (without using automatic de-hooking gear) have a high rate of survival.

Table 2: Summary of key threats and impacts to Portuguese dogfish (*Centroscymnus coelolepis*).

Type of impact	Cause of threat	Comment
Fisheries	Target and utilised bycatch fisheries. Ghost fishing from discarded nets	See above.

5. Existing management measures

A number of fisheries regulations have been applied to deepwater shark species over the past seven years. These are implemented by ICES Area, not OSPAR Region (ICES Areas and Sub-areas are illustrated in Figure 3). These regulations control fishing gears, depths and effort (technical measures), and set TACs. Fishing opportunities for most deepwater species are decided on a bi-annual basis. They are becoming increasingly restrictive.

Technical measures for deepwater fisheries

EU Council Regulation (EC) No 2347/2002 sets maximum capacity and power (kW) ceilings on individual Member State fleets fishing for deepwater species. Council Regulation (EC) No 27/2005 limited effort (kilowatt days) at 90% of the 2003 level for 2005, and 80% for 2006.

Council Regulation (EC) No 1568/2005 bans the use of trawls and gillnets in waters deeper than 200 m in the Azores, Madeira and Canary Island areas.

Council Regulation (EC) No 41/2007 banned the use of gillnets by Community vessels at depths greater than 600 m in ICES Divisions VI a, b, VII b, c , j, k and Subarea XII (parts of OSPAR Regions III and V) because of concerns over the unsustainable and environmentally damaging nature of this fishery. A maximum by-catch of deepwater shark of 5 % is allowed in hake and monkfish gillnet catches. This ban does not cover Subareas VIII or IX (OSPAR Region IV). In 2006, the ban on gillnetting applied to waters deeper than 200 m, but this was revised to 600 m in 2007, thus permitting fishing to recommence in the upper part of this species' range where mature females are most vulnerable. NEAFC ordered the removal of all gillnets from waters deeper than 200 m in the NEAFC Regulatory Area (all international waters of the ICES Area, OSPAR Region V) during early 2006. This gillnet ban below 200 m continues.

These gill net bans have resulted in the redirection of fishing effort to other areas of ICES Areas IV a, VIII and IX and to West Africa. IX b is a new, previously unexploited area. ICES WGEF (2008, 2009) expressed "concern that new fisheries are developing in VIII and IX b without prior evaluation of sustainable catches having been carried out". It also noted that "IUU fishing is known to take place in international waters". ICES advice is that these fisheries should not proceed, nor expand, unless they can be demonstrated to be sustainable for deepwater sharks.

Total Allowable Catch (TAC)

In 2006, ICES advised that no target deepwater shark fisheries should be permitted unless there were reliable estimates of current exploitation rates and stock productivity. The TAC should therefore be set at zero for the entire distribution area of the stocks and additional measures should be taken to prevent by-catch in fisheries targeting other species. No ICES advice was provided in 2007. A zero quota was again recommended in 2008 (for 2009).

In 2007, the combined TAC for 11 deepwater shark species, including Leafscale gulper shark, was 2472 t in ICES Sub-areas V, VI, VII, VIII and IX, reducing to 1646 t in 2008. In 2007 and 2008, a TAC of 20 t was set for 13 species of deepwater sharks combined in Sub-area X, and 99 t for 11 species in Sub-area XII. The deepwater shark quotas for 2009 are for by-catch only and have been reduced to 824 t for Sub-areas V, VI, VII, VIII and IX, 10 t in Sub-area X, and 12 t in XI (Council Regulation (EC) No. 1359/2008). These quotas will all fall to zero in 2010, although a by-catch of up to 10 % of the 2009 quota will still be permitted – a total of about 85 t for all species, compared with landings of around 10,000 t for deepwater 'siki' sharks in 2001.



Figure 3: Map of ICES Fishing Areas

6. Conclusion on overall status

This species is seriously depleted by deepwater fisheries. Management regulations introduced over the past decade do not cover the whole of the OSPAR Area and have caused effort to be redirected to new fishing grounds, where depletion continues. Although TACs for deepwater sharks are being reduced to zero, by-catch will continue to be a problem in other deepwater fisheries and IUU fishing is occurring in international waters. *C. coelolepis* is assessed on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species as “Vulnerable” globally and “Endangered” in the North-East Atlantic.

7. Action to be taken by OSPAR

C. coelolepis cannot support the intensive fisheries that have resulted in such rapid depletion of its population in the OSPAR Maritime Area. The conservation objective for this species should be to protect remaining portions of the stock in order to allow population recovery.

Action/measures that OSPAR could take, subject to OSPAR agreement

As set out in Article 4 of Annex V of the Convention, OSPAR has agreed that no programme or measure concerning a question relating to the management of fisheries shall be adopted under this Annex. However where the Commission considers that action is desirable in relation to such a question, it shall draw that question to the attention of the authority or international body competent for that question. Where action within the competence of the Commission is desirable to complement or support action by those authorities or bodies, the Commission shall endeavour to cooperate with them.

Scientific advice on the management of deepwater sharks is available from ICES. OSPAR should endeavour to support the adoption of this advice by all of its Contracting Parties and on the High Seas through NEAFC. ICES WGEF (2007) noted that there are no obvious measures that could mitigate by-catch of sharks in commercial deepwater fisheries. Preventing by-catch mortality is very difficult or impossible to achieve when fisheries are taking place in deepwater shark habitat. Action at an OSPAR level would therefore include not only supporting the closure of target fisheries and introduction of a zero by-catch TAC, but also minimising by-catch through depth and effort restrictions, gear controls and area closures, as appropriate, and restricting overall fishing effort in deepwater shark habitat to

the lowest possible level. Many of these actions will also provide conservation benefits for other deepwater commercial species.

It is proposed that OSPAR should encourage relevant Contracting Parties to OSPAR and NEAFC (those whose flag vessels are engaged in the deepwater fisheries that take *C. coelolepis* and other threatened deepwater shark species) to adopt or support the adoption of ICES advice for deepwater sharks through:

1. national, European and regional (NEAFC) fisheries conservation and management measures, including provisions within the Community Plan of Action on Sharks and prohibitions on target fishing, retention, landing and sale;
2. the designation of offshore marine protected areas;
3. national, European and international protected species legislation (including the Bern Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats and Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora); and
4. marine species and fisheries research.

It is proposed that OSPAR should draw to the attention of Contracting Parties the requirement for catches of deepwater sharks by their vessels to be reported at the species level and this information made available to ICES and NEAFC.

To complement the above, the OSPAR Commission should communicate to the European Commission the endangered status of *C. coelolepis* and its Annex V status, and encourage urgent consideration of the species as a candidate for listing on relevant European and international biodiversity conventions and for special attention under the Community Plan of Action for Sharks.

Table 3: Summary of key priority actions and measures which could be taken for *C. coelolepis*. Where relevant, the OSPAR Commission should draw the need for action in relation to questions of fisheries management to the attention of the competent authorities. Where action within the competence of the Commission is desirable to complement or support action by those authorities or bodies, the Commission shall endeavour to cooperate with them.

Key threats	Fisheries mortality (target and bycatch) in unsustainable deepwater fisheries	
Other responsible authorities	- EC and Council of Fisheries Ministers (Common Fisheries Policy, Regulations, TACs) - OSPAR Contracting Parties - NEAFC and ICES	
Already protected? **Measures adequate?**	EU: TAC, effort regulation and gill net bans	- Grouped bycatch TACs for deepwater sharks are restrictive in some areas and will fall to near zero (10% of 2009 TAC) in 2010. - An observer programme is in place for deepwater fisheries. - Gill net bans do not cover all OSPAR areas and depths where mature and pregnant female deepwater sharks occur. - Trawl fisheries are regulated through a fishing effort management programme.
	NEAFC: gill net ban	- Covers all international waters below 200 m, thus protecting *C. coelolepis*.
	EU: species-specific catch records	- The majority of Member States are not providing species-specific data for deepwater sharks.

Recommended Actions and Measures	**OSPAR Commission**	- Monitor information and advice of the ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fisheries and bring this to the attention of CPs.
	Contracting Parties	- Make identification guides available to industry and agencies to ensure that accurate species-specific catch records are collected; - Support ICES and EC recommendations in the Council of Ministers and NEAFC. - Improve observer coverage on deepwater fishing vessels.
	Research needs	- Life history, biology, stock discrimination and trend data

Brief summary of the proposed monitoring system (see annex 2)

Fishery-independent surveys are monitoring this species in part of its range and an observer programme for deepwater fisheries is in place. Greater observer coverage and improved species-specific identification of deepwater sharks would significantly improve monitoring and collection of scientific data. The mandatory requirement for species-specific landings data from EU MS is not being met but is essential for monitoring the status of fisheries for and stocks of this species.

Annex 1: Overview of data and information provided by Contracting Parties

Contracting Party	Feature occurs in CP's Maritime Area	Contribution made to the assessment (e.g. data or information provided)	National reports References or web links
Belgium	N	N	
Denmark	N	N	
France	Y	Y	
Germany	N	Y	Fricke, R. & Eschmeyer, W.N. 2009. A guide to fish collections in the Catalog of fishes. Online version, updated 2 July 2009.– Internet publication, San Francisco (California Academy of Sciences). http://research.calacademy.org/research/Ichthyology/catalog/collections.asp
Iceland	Y	N	
Ireland	Y	N	
Netherlands	N	N	
Norway	N	N	
Portugal	Y	N	
Spain	Y	Y	Bañón, R., C. Piñeiro and M. Casas, 2006. Biological aspects of deep-water sharks *Centroscymnus coelolepis* and *Centrophorus squamosus* in Galician waters (north-western Spain). *J. Mar. Biol. Ass*. U.K., 86: 843-846. Figueiredo, I., T. Moura, A. Neves and l. Gordo, 2008. Reproductive strategy of leafscale gulper shark *Centrophorus squamosus* and the portuguese dogfish *Centroscymnus coelolepis* on the Portuguese continental slope. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 73: 206-225. Piñeiro, C.G, M. Casas and R. Bañón, 2001. The deep water fisheries exploited by Spanish fleets in the northeast Atlantic: a review of the current status. *Fisheries Research*, 51: 311-320. Sánchez, F., A. Serrano, S. Parra, M. Ballesteros and J.E. Cartes, 2008. Habitat characteristics as determinant of the structure and spatial distribution of epibenthic and demersal communities of Le Danois Bank (Cantabrian Sea, N. Spain). *Journal of Marine Systems*, 72: 64-86.

			Verissimo, A., L. Gordo and I. Figueiredo, 2003. Reproductive biology and embryonic development of *Centroscymnus coelolepis* in Portuguese mainland waters. ICES, *Journal of Marine Science*, 60: 1335-1341. *These publications, however, have not yet been considered due to limited time available.*
Sweden	N	Y	
United Kingdom	Y	Y	

Summaries of country-specific information provided

Spain

***Centroscymnus coelolepis* (Portuguese dogfish) in the Cantabrian Sea (southern area of Bay of Biscay):**

The historical series of bottom trawl surveys carried out from 1988 in the continental shelf of Galicia and Cantabrian Sea show the presence of this species in the area, however the abundance index is very low due to the depth range of these surveys (70-500 m). Recently, surveys conducted in Le Danois Bank (declared as an MPA), at greater depths, indicated the occurrence of *C. coelolepis* in the inner basin, located between the bank and the continental shelf of the Cantabrian Sea (Sánchez *et al*., 2008). This species and other deepwater elasmobranchs are caught as bycatch in some fisheries, particularly longline but data are not available. A direct deepwater shark longline fishery was developed in 1970's by some coastal vessels. By the end of 1990s only three vessels continued operating mainly seasonally but by 2005 this activity ceased (Piñeiro *et al.*, 2001; Bañón *et al.,* 2006) (Figure 1). Some biological information on this species for OSPAR Region IV has been recorded (Veríssimo *et al*., 2003; Bañón *et al*., 2006; Figueiredo *et al.*, 2008).



Figure 4: Geographic distribution of Portuguese dogfish catches (number/ 30 min haul) in the North of Spain bottom trawl surveys (1988-2008) and location of longline catches.

Annex 2: Detailed description of the proposed monitoring and assessment strategy

Rationale for the proposed monitoring

Monitoring is essential to provide management advice and to evaluate future trends, including bycatch and stock recovery following cessation of target fisheries.

Use of existing monitoring programmes

Regular fishery independent surveys of deepwater areas are undertaken by research vessels and chartered vessels in the OSPAR Area. This species should now also be reported accurately in landings by EU Member States. The ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes uses these and all other available sources to report regularly on the status of this species in the OSPAR Area.

Synergies with monitoring of other species or habitats

Monitoring of other deepwater fish species on the OSPAR list require the same strategy.

Assessment criteria

It is not considered necessary to develop assessment criteria or triggers for additional monitoring of this species at the present time.

Techniques/approaches

As already underway, with the addition of more accurate identification guides for use by industry and at landing sites.

Selection of monitoring locations

n/a

Timing and Frequency of monitoring

As already underway.

Data collection and reporting

As already undertaken with improvements as required (e.g. species-specific catch and landings data).

Quality assurance

n/a

Annex 3: References

Allain, V., Biseau, A. & Kergoat, B. 2003. Preliminary estimates of French deepwater fishery discards in the Northeast Atlantic Ocean. *Fisheries Research*, 60(1): 185-192.

Basson, M., Gordon, J.D.M., Large, P., Lorance, P., Pope, J. and Rackham, B. 2002. The effects of fishing on deep-water fish species to the west of Britain. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee. Report no. 324.

Clarke, M.W., Connolly, P.L. and Bracken, J.J. 2001. Aspects of reproduction of deep water sharks *Centroscymnus coelolepis* and *Centrophorus squamosus* from west of Ireland and Scotland. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 81: 1019-1029

Compagno, L.J.V. In prep. *Sharks of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date. Part 1. Hexanchiformes to Pristiophoriformes*. FAO Fish Synop.

Compagno, L.J.V., Dando, D., and Fowler, S. 2005. *Field Guide to Sharks of the World*. HarperCollins, London.

Froese, R. and D. Pauly. Editors. 2006. *FishBase*. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org , version (05/2006).

Gubbay, S. 2001. Review of proposals for an initial list of threatened and declining species in the OSPAR maritime area. Volume 1. Review. 51pp.

Hall-Spencer, J., Allain, V. & Fosså, J.H. 2003. Trawling damage to Northeast Atlantic ancient coral reefs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Science*, 269 (1490): 507-511.

Hareide, N., Garnes, G., Rihan, D., Mulligan, M., Tyndall, P., Clark, M., Connolly, P., Misund, R., McMullen, P., Furevik, D., Humborstad, B.O., Høydal, K., Blasdale, T. 2005. A preliminary Investigation on Shelf Edge and Deepwater Fixed Net Fisheries to the West and North of Great Britain, Ireland, around Rockall and Hatton Bank. "DEEPNET" Report prepared for the Irish Sea Fisheries Board, The Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries, North East Atlantic fisheries Commission, Seafish, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, and the Marine Institute. 47 pp.

Heessen, H.J.L. (Ed.) (2003). Development of elasmobranch assessements DELASS. Final report of DG Fish Study Contract 99/055, 603 pp.

ICES ACFM 2005. ACFM Report. http://www.ices.dk/products/icesadvice.asp

ICES WGEF 2004. Report of the Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). ICES Living Resources Committee ICES CM 2004/G:11. International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Denmark.

ICES WGEF, 2005. Report of the Study Group on Elasmobranch Fishes, ICES Headquarters 6-10 May 2002, ICES CM 2002/G:08.

ICES WGEF. 2006. Report of the Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). 14–21 June 2006, ICES, Copenhagen. ICES CM 2006/ACFM:31 Ref. LRC.

ICES WGEF. 2007. Report of the Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). 22–28 June 2007, ICES CM 2007 /ACFM:27

ICES WGEF. 2008. Report of the Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). 3–6 March 2008, ICES, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2008/ACOM:16.

ICES WGEF. 2009. Report of the Joint Meeting between ICES Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF) and ICCAT Shark Subgroup. 22–29 June 2009, ICES, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM 2009/ACOM:16.

ICES WGFE. 2006. Report of the Working Group on Fish Ecology (WGFE), 13–17 March 2006, ICES, Copenhagen. ICES CM 2006/LRC:06, 154 pp.

ICES ACFM. 2005. Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on Fishery Management, Advisory Committee on the Marine Environment, and Advisory Committee on Ecosystems, 1.4.1, Deepwater sharks in the northeast Atlantic (ICES Sub-areas V-XIV, mainly Portuguese dogfish and leafscale gulper shark). ICES Advice. Vols 1-11. 1,403 pp.

ICES ACFM, 2008. Report of the ICES Advisory Committee on Fishery Management, Advisory Committee on the Marine Environment and Advisory Committee on Ecosystems, 9.4.20. Portuguese dogfish (*Centroscymnus coelolepis*) and leafscale gulper shark (*Centrophorus squamosus*) in the Northeast Atlantic (ICES Areas I XIV). ICES Advice, Book 8, pp. 186–190. http://www.ices.dk/products/icesadvice.asp

Irvine SB (2005) Report of the Workshop on Conservation and Management of Deepwater Chondrichthyan Fishes. Report on Deep Sea 2003, an International Conference on Governance and Management of Deep Sea Fisheries. New Zealand, December 2003. FAO, Rome.

Kyne PM and Simpfendorfer CA (2007). A collation and summarization of available data on deepwater chondrichthyans: biodiversity, life history and fisheries. A report prepared by the IUCN SSC Shark Specialist Group for the Marine Conservation Biology Institute. February 2007.

STECF, 2006. Report of the STECF working group on deep-sea gillnet fisheries. Commission Staff Working Paper. 52 pp.

Stevens, J. & Correia, J.P.S. 2003. *Centroscymnus coelolepis*. In: 2006 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. www.iucnredlist.org.

Wilson, D.T., H.M. Patterson, R. Summerson and P.I. Hobsbawn. 2009. Information to support management options for upper-slope gulper sharks (including Harrisson's dogfish and southern dogfish). Final Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation Project No. 2008/65. Bureau of Rural Sciences, Canberra, Australia.

OSPAR COMMISSION

New Court
48 Carey Street
London WC2A 2JQ
United Kingdom

t: +44 (0)20 7430 5200
f: +44 (0)20 7430 5225
e: secretariat@ospar.org
www.ospar.org

OSPAR's vision is of a clean, healthy and biologically diverse North-East Atlantic used sustainably

ISBN 978-1-907390-10-4
Publication Number: 469/2010