



OSPAR
COMMISSION

Background Document on the Altair Seamount Marine Protected Area

OSPAR Convention

The Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (the “OSPAR Convention”) was opened for signature at the Ministerial Meeting of the former Oslo and Paris Commissions in Paris on 22 September 1992. The Convention entered into force on 25 March 1998. It has been ratified by Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Iceland, Ireland, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom and approved by the European Union and Spain

Convention OSPAR

La Convention pour la protection du milieu marin de l'Atlantique du Nord-Est, dite Convention OSPAR, a été ouverte à la signature à la réunion ministérielle des anciennes Commissions d'Oslo et de Paris, à Paris le 22 septembre 1992. La Convention est entrée en vigueur le 25 mars 1998. La Convention a été ratifiée par l'Allemagne, la Belgique, le Danemark, la Finlande, la France, l'Irlande, l'Islande, le Luxembourg, la Norvège, les Pays-Bas, le Portugal, le Royaume-Uni de Grande Bretagne et d'Irlande du Nord, la Suède et la Suisse et approuvée par l'Union européenne et l'Espagne

Acknowledgement

This report was prepared by the OSPAR Intersessional Correspondence Group on Marine Protected Areas as a contribution to OSPAR's work on MPAs in areas beyond national jurisdiction under the leadership of Dr Henning von Nordheim (German Federal Agency for Nature Conservation/BfN) with the assistance of Tim Packeiser. The report is based upon work by the University of York and carried out by Prof Callum Roberts, Beth O' Leary and Rachel Brown as commissioned by BfN Germany. The report has been enhanced by contributions of the University of the Azores (Prof Ricardo S. Santos), supported by the Government of the Azores, and the Portuguese Instituto da Conservação da Natureza e da Biodiversidade (Pedro I. Arriegas).

Contents

Executive summary	3
Récapitulatif	3
A. General information	4
1. Area	4
2. Aim of MPA – Conservation Objectives	4
2.1 Conservation Vision	4
2.2 General Conservation Objectives	4
2.3 Specific Conservation Objectives	5
3. Status of the location	6
4. Marine region	6
5. Biogeographic region	6
6. Location	6
7. Size	6
8. Characteristics of the area	7
B Selection criteria	8
1. Ecological criteria/considerations	8
1.1. Threatened and/or declining species and habitats	8
1.2. Important species and habitats	8
1.3. Ecological significance	8
1.4. High natural biological diversity	9
1.5. Representativity	9
1.6. Sensitivity	9
1.7. Naturalness	9
2. Practical criteria/considerations	9
2.1. Potential for restoration	9
2.2. Degree of acceptance	9
2.3. Potential for success of management measures	10
2.4. Potential damage to the area by human activities	10
2.5. Scientific value	10
C. Proposed management and protection status	11
1. Proposed management	11
2. Any existing or proposed legal status	11
References	12
Annex 1	14
Species and habitats of special interest for the Altair Seamount-MPA	14

Executive summary

The isolated Altair Seamount lying to the west of the Mid-Atlantic ridge is considered to be a potentially near-pristine example of an oceanic seamount ecosystem. Although little-explored, it is likely to contain unique species, as well as sustain important concentrations of a wide range of fish and corals.

In 2003, the OSPAR Commission agreed to establish a network of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) with the aim that this should become an ecologically coherent network of well-managed sites. OSPAR agreed that the OSPAR Network of MPAs should comprise sites that are established as MPAs within the jurisdiction of OSPAR Contracting Parties as well as sites in the maritime area outside the jurisdiction of the Contracting Parties (area beyond national jurisdiction ABNJ). In the OSPAR Biodiversity and Ecosystems Strategy, OSPAR agreed to identify, on the basis of reports from Contracting Parties and observer organisations, possible components of the OSPAR Network in areas beyond national jurisdiction in order to achieve the purposes of the network.

This background document makes available the information which has been compiled and evaluated within the OSPAR framework on the biodiversity and ecosystems of the Altair Seamount, which was proposed to OSPAR as a potential MPA in ABNJ in 2009. On the basis of this information, the 2010 Ministerial Meeting of the OSPAR Commission adopted OSPAR Decision 2010/3 on the establishment of the Altair Seamount High Seas MPA to protect the biodiversity of the waters superjacent to the seabed of the Altair Seamount. In parallel the government of Portugal have established an MPA covering the seabed of the Altair Seamount, which is the subject of a submission by Portugal to the Commission on the limits of the Continental Shelf. This document also includes conservation objectives developed within the OSPAR framework for application to an MPA in the Altair Seamount High Seas MPA which have been formalised in OSPAR Recommendation 2010/14 on the management of the Altair Seamount High Seas MPA.

Récapitulatif

On considère que le mont sous-marin isolé Altair, situé à l'Ouest de la dorsale médio-atlantique, représente un exemple potentiel d'écosystème quasi immaculé de mont sous-marin. Bien qu'il n'ait fait l'objet de peu d'exploration, il est probable qu'il comporte des espèces uniques et qu'il héberge des concentrations importantes d'un grand éventail de poissons et de coraux.

La Commission OSPAR est convenue, en 2003, de créer un réseau de zones marines protégées (ZMP) afin que celui-ci devienne un réseau de sites écologiquement cohérent et bien géré. OSPAR est convenue que le réseau OSPAR de ZMP devra englober les sites créés à titre de ZMP situés dans la juridiction des Parties contractantes OSPAR ainsi que les sites de la zone maritime situés au-delà de la juridiction des Parties contractantes (zone au-delà de la juridiction nationale (ABNJ)). OSPAR est convenue, dans sa Stratégie biodiversité et écosystèmes, de déterminer, en se fondant sur des rapports des Parties contractantes et d'organisations observatrices, des composantes éventuelles du réseau OSPAR situées dans des zones au-delà de la juridiction nationale afin de parvenir aux objectifs du réseau.

Le présent document de fond comporte les informations qui ont été recueillies et évaluées dans le cadre de travail d'OSPAR et portant sur la biodiversité et les écosystèmes du mont sous-marin Altair qui a été proposé à OSPAR à titre de ZMP potentielle dans une ABNJ en 2009. La réunion ministérielle de 2010 de la Commission OSPAR a adopté, en se fondant sur ces informations, la Décision OSPAR 2010/3 sur la création de la ZMP du mont sous-marin Altair haute mer pour protéger

la biodiversité des eaux superjacentes au fond marin du mont sous-marin Altair. Parallèlement, le gouvernement du Portugal a créé une ZMP couvrant le fond marin du mont sous-marin Altair, qui fait l'objet d'une communication du Portugal à la Commission sur les limites du plateau continental. Ce document comporte également des objectifs de conservation développés au sein du cadre de travail d'OSPAR à appliquer à une ZMP située dans la ZMP du mont sous-marin Altair haute mer. Ces objectifs de conservation ont été officialisés dans la Recommandation OSPAR 2010/14 sur la gestion de la ZMP du mont sous-marin Altair haute mer.

A. General information

1. Area

Altair Seamount

2. Aim of MPA – Conservation Objectives

2.1 Conservation Vision¹

“Maintenance and, where appropriate, restoration of the integrity of the functions and biodiversity of the various ecosystems of the Altair Seamount-MPA so they are the result of natural environmental quality and ecological processes².”

Cooperation between competent authorities, stakeholder participation, scientific progress and public learning are essential prerequisites to realize the vision and to establish a Marine Protected Area subject to adequate regulations, good governance and sustainable utilization. Best available scientific knowledge and the precautionary principle form the basis for conservation.

2.2 General Conservation Objectives^{3 4}

- (1) To **protect and conserve** the range of habitats and ecosystems including the water column of the Altair Seamount MPA for resident, visiting and migratory species as well as the marine communities associated with key habitats.
- (2) To **prevent** loss of biodiversity, and promote its recovery where practicable, so as to maintain the natural richness and resilience of the ecosystems and habitats, and to enable populations of species, both known and unknown, to maintain or recover natural population densities and population age structures.
- (3) To **prevent** degradation of, and damage to, species, habitats and ecological processes, in order to maintain the structure and functions - including the productivity - of the ecosystems.
- (4) To **restore** the naturalness and richness of key ecosystems and habitats, in particular those hosting high natural biodiversity.

¹ The conservation vision describes a desired long-term conservation condition and function for the ecosystems in the entire Altair Seamount MPA. The vision aims to encourage relevant stakeholders to collaborate and contribute to reach the objectives set for the area.

² Recognizing that species abundances and community composition will change over time due to natural processes.

³ Conservation objectives are meant to realize the vision. Conservation objectives are related to the entire Altair Seamount MPA or, if it is decided to subdivide, for a zone or subdivision of the area, respectively.

⁴ It is recognized that climate change may have effects in the area, and that the MPA may serve as a reference site to study these effects.

- (5) To **provide a refuge** for wildlife within which there is minimal human influence and impact.

2.3 Specific Conservation Objectives^{5 6}

2.3.1 Water Column

- a. To prevent deterioration of the environmental quality of the bathypelagic and epipelagic water column (e.g. toxic and non-toxic contamination⁷) from levels characteristic of the ambient ecosystems, and where degradation from these levels has already occurred, to recover environmental quality to levels characteristic of the ambient ecosystems.
- b. To prevent other physical disturbance (e.g. acoustic).
- c. To protect, maintain and, where in the past impacts have occurred, restore the epipelagic and bathypelagic ecosystems, including their functions for resident, visiting and migratory species, such as: cetaceans, and mesopelagic and bathypelagic fish populations.

2.3.2. Benthopelagic Layer

To protect, maintain and, where in the past impacts have occurred, restore:

- a. Historically exploited **fish populations** (target and bycatch species) at/to levels corresponding to population sizes above safe biological limits⁸ with special attention also given to **deep water elasmobranch species**, including threatened and/or declining species.
- b. Benthopelagic habitats and associated communities to levels characteristic of natural ecosystems.

2.3.3. Benthos

To protect, maintain and, where in the past impacts have occurred, restore to levels characteristic of natural ecosystems:

- a. The **epibenthos and its hard and soft sediment habitats**, including threatened and/or declining species and habitats such as seamounts and coral gardens.
- b. The **infauna of the soft sediment benthos**, including threatened and/or declining species and habitats.
- c. The **habitats associated with seamount structures**.

2.3.4. Habitats and species of specific concern

Those species and habitats of special interest for the Altair Seamount-MPA, which could also give an indication of specific management approaches, are listed at Annex 1.

⁵ Specific Conservation Objectives shall relate to a particular feature and define the conditions required to satisfy the general conservation objectives. Each of these specific conservation objectives will have to be supported by more management orientated, achievable, measurable and time bound targets.

⁶ Norway has a reservation on Section 2.3 "Specific Conservation Objectives".

⁷ This includes synthetic compounds (e.g. PCBs and chemical discharge), solid synthetic waste and other litter (e.g. plastic) and non-synthetic compounds (e.g. heavy metals and oil).

⁸ "Safe biological limits" used in the following context: "Populations are maintained above safe biological limits by ensuring the long-term conservation and sustainable use of marine living resources in the deep-seas and preventing significant adverse impacts on Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems (FAO International Guidelines for the Management of Deep-Sea Fisheries in the High Seas, 2008).

3. Status of the location

The area was initially designed to be located beyond the limits of national jurisdiction of the coastal states in the OSPAR Maritime Area.

However, on 11 May 2009 the Portuguese Republic submitted to the Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf (UN CLCS), information on the limits of the Portuguese continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles from the baselines from which the breadth of the territorial sea is measured, in accordance with Article 76, paragraph 8 of the Convention of the Law of the Sea. These claims submitted by Portugal – if approved by the UN CLCS - will encompass the seabed in the area of the Altair Seamount MPA.

The water column in the area of Altair Seamount MPA is located beyond the limits of national jurisdiction of the coastal states in the OSPAR Maritime Area. The international legal regime that is applicable to this area site is comprised of, *inter alia*, the UNCLOS, the Convention on Biological Diversity, the OSPAR Convention and other rules of international law. This regime contains, among other things, rights and obligations for states on the utilization, protection and preservation of the marine environment and the utilization and conservation of marine living resources and biodiversity as well as specifications of the competence of relevant international organizations.

4. Marine region

OSPAR Region V; Atlantic Ocean

5. Biogeographic region

Atlantic Subregion; Warm-temperate waters

6. Location

The marine protected area (Figure 1) incorporates and extends the existing NEAFC fishery closure over Altair Seamount.

The boundary co-ordinates are:

Latitude	Longitude
44.86°N	34.46°W
44.86°N	33.54°W
44.32°N	33.54°W
44.32°N	34.46°W





Figure 1. The marine protected area boundaries shown in shaded red. The shaded blue area is the Azores Exclusive Economic Zone and the red circles are known seamount location.

7. Size

4408.71km²

8. Characteristics of the area

Altair seamount is found in the North Atlantic just north west of the Azores. It is located close to the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, which is the major topographical feature of the OSPAR maritime area (Dinter, 2001). The Mid-Atlantic Ridge is an active seafloor spreading centre (Dinter, 2001), therefore Altair seamount can be considered older than the seamounts found on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge and it is also a relatively isolated seamount. As such it is possible, although unproven, that biological community found on Altair has a greater abundance of endemics than the seamounts of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Few scientific studies have been conducted on Altair, therefore very little is known about its biology. One study conducted using a Spanish freezer trawler did perform several experimental trawls over Altair (Durán Muñoz et al, 2000). Exploration of Altair seamount found that the bottom was very hard, with steep topography, and as such few areas were suitable for trawling (Durán Muñoz et al, 2000). The main fish species that were caught in just under 2 hours of trawling on Altair were Black scabbardfish (*Aphanopus carbo*) and Lantern shark (*Etmopterus princeps*) (Durán Muñoz et al, 2000). Both of which have been described as vulnerable to fishing pressure (Devine et al, 2006; Kyne & Simpfendorfer, 2007).



Altair has had a NEAFC fishery closure in place since 2005 until at least the 31st December 2008 (ICES, 2007). The marine protected area incorporates and extends this fishery closure (see Figure 2). There are two primary reasons for this, firstly to make the boundaries straighter so that compliance and management is easier. Secondly to include all of the depth zones in the area that are at present and may be in the future accessible to fishing. Given the sparse information available about Altair it seems prudent to apply the Precautionary Principle and protect a larger rather than a smaller area.

Figure 2. Marine protected area boundaries with the current NEAFC fishery closure and depth zones in the area around Altair seamount.

B Selection criteria

1. Ecological criteria/considerations

1.1. Threatened and/or declining species and habitats

The designated area is seamount habitat, which is listed as priority threatened or declining habitat by OSPAR (OSPAR Commission, 2003). It includes seamount and potentially cold-water coral and sponge reef habitats that qualify as Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems in relation to high seas fisheries according to criteria developed by FAO (FAO, 2007; Rogers et al, 2008). It also contains seamount communities listed as examples of ecologically or biological significant marine areas according to criteria developed by the CBD for identifying candidate sites for protection on the high seas (UNEP, 2007).

In addition to the above listed habitats, visual analysis of turtle tracks throughout the Azores Archipelago showed that Loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) are present at this seamount (Santos *et al* 2007). *C. caretta* is listed as a priority threatened and/or declining species by OSPAR (OSPAR Commission, 2003). The turtles found around this and other nearby seamounts are juveniles who have left their hatching grounds in the SE United States (Santos *et al* 2007). They spend between 6.5 and 11.5 years in this juvenile oceanic phase before returning to complete their juvenile development in Western Atlantic waters, not reaching sexual maturity until aged approximately 30 (Santos *et al* 2007). Tracked turtles appeared to move towards seamounts around the Azores Archipelago and increased their residence times once in their vicinity (Santos *et al* 2007). Of the seamounts sampled Altair had the highest residence time, indicating that it may be a hotspot for these juvenile turtles (Santos *et al* 2006; Santos *et al* 2007; Morato *et al* 2008).

1.2. Important species and habitats

In view of the limited scientific information about Altair seamount a precautionary approach to protection is recommended. The area has already been afforded temporary protection through NEAFC fishery closures, in place until 31st December 2008. Altair seamount is classified as seamount habitat, which is recognised as threatened or declining habitat by OSPAR (OSPAR Commission, 2003; see Threatened and/or declining species and habitats criterion above).

In addition to the habitats and species mentioned in the previous criterion one other vulnerable species has been found on Altair seamount, the Lantern shark (*Etmopterus princeps*) (Durán Muñoz *et al* 2000). *E. princeps* has been classified by ICES as vulnerable to fishing pressure due to its relatively long recovery time (ICES, 2005b; 2008). *E. princeps* was the main species caught on Altair seamount (between 1001-1200m) during a 1999 experimental fishing study (Durán Muñoz *et al* 2000). Relatively little time was spent surveying Altair (1.8 hours trawling & 3 hauls on Altair as compared to 163.4 hours trawling and 34 hauls on the most studied site Hatton Bank) (Durán Muñoz *et al* 2000). Approximately a third (489kg) of the total amount of *E. princeps* was caught at Altair Seamount (the rest was mainly caught on Faraday Seamount on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge) (Durán Muñoz *et al* 2000). This suggests that Altair may be of some importance to this species within the OSPAR area.

1.3. Ecological significance

The nearest topographic feature to the Altair seamount is the section of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge between the Azores and Charlie-Gibbs Fracture Zone. This part of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge has the highest concentration of seamounts found in the north Atlantic (Epp & Smoot, 1989). The Mid-Atlantic Ridge is an active seafloor-spreading centre, separating the Eurasian and American plates (Epp & Smoot, 1989; Dinter, 2001). The Altair seamount is therefore older than the seamounts of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge and as such may potentially support more endemic species. In addition to this seamount communities in general have been identified as ecological significant marine areas

according to criteria developed by the CBD for identifying candidate sites for protection on the high seas (UNEP, 2007).

1.4. High natural biological diversity

There is no site-specific information available about the biological diversity of Altair seamount. However, seamounts in general are known to support a large and diverse fish fauna, with as many as 798 species found on and around seamounts (Clarke et al, 2006). Food availability is often higher on and above seamounts, supporting a rich fauna in comparison to the surrounding open ocean (Clarke et al, 2006). This fauna can include highly vulnerable pelagic predators, spawning aggregations of commercially important species, cold water coral and sponge communities that are slow-growing and highly vulnerable to fishing and a great variety of associated invertebrates (Koslow et al, 2001; Lack et al, 2003; Worm et al, 2003; Clarke et al, 2006).

1.5. Representativity

There is no site-specific information available about the biology and ecology of Altair seamount. It is thought that this seamount is a representative example of seamounts of the OSPAR high seas area to the west of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge.

1.6. Sensitivity

Seamounts have been identified as both priority threatened or declining habitat by OSPAR and Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems in relation to high seas fisheries by the FAO (OSPAR Commission, 2003; FAO, 2007). In addition the sensitivity of Altair seamount has been recognised by NEAFC with the current temporary fishing closure. Although no site-specific information exists, it is likely that this site contains the sensitive habitats that are characteristic of seamounts in general. In view of the recognition of the vulnerability of seamounts by the UN, CBD, NEAFC and OSPAR it agreed that the protection afforded Altair seamount was extended to marine protected area status.

1.7. Naturalness

In 2004 VMS data showed that Altair seamount was not targeted by fishing vessels (ICES, 2007). However, following the establishment of the NEAFC fishing closures in 2005 bottom fishing effort was observed over one of the protected seamounts in the Altair closure (ICES, 2007). This indicates that the area may have already been impacted by fishing activity and that the NEAFC closures are not entirely effective. However, Durán Muñoz *et al* (2000) found that few areas of Altair seamount were suitable to bottom trawl fishing, indicating there may still be a high degree of naturalness at this site.

2. Practical criteria/considerations

2.1. Potential for restoration

Given the lack of mapping effort in the area there is little detailed knowledge of benthic structures that exist within the designated area or their present condition. Given the evidence of a small amount of fishing activity at the site (see Naturalness criterion), it is likely that any species that have been affected will take time to recover from past impacts. However, without habitat mapping and a better understanding of its ecology it is impossible to evaluate the site's potential for restoration.

2.2. Degree of acceptance

As noted earlier, the designated area includes seamount habitat, which is listed as priority threatened or declining habitat by OSPAR (OSPAR Commission 2003). Seamount habitat qualifies as a Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems in relation to high seas fisheries according to criteria developed by FAO (FAO 2007, Rogers et al, 2008). Seamount habitat is also listed as an example of ecologically or biological significant marine areas according to criteria developed by the CBD for identifying candidate

sites for protection on the high seas (UNEP 2007). Therefore there are strong scientific grounds warranting protection of the area.

The designated area incorporates an existing NEAFC fishery closure, which has been in effect since 2005 (ICES, 2007). Therefore it is more likely to be accepted by NEAFC and the fishing community than an area not currently closed to fishing.

2.3. Potential for success of management measures

On the one hand, high seas marine protection will be more difficult to implement than in places closer to land, where patrols and enforcement measures can be easily administered. The NEAFC fishery closure that exists in the area did not prevent bottom fishing activity within the closure in the year following its implementation (ICES, 2007). However, on the other hand, protection may be easier to achieve because the number of users of the areas is much more limited, and their activities can be monitored remotely and in a cost effective way by Vessel Monitoring Systems and satellites (Kourti *et al.*, 2001; Marr and Hall-Spencer, 2002; Deng *et al.*, 2005; Kourti *et al.*, 2005; Murawski *et al.*, 2005; Davies *et al.*, 2007; Rogers *et al.*, 2008). The challenge will be to bring illegal and unregulated fishing under control although some progress is being made on this within the NEAFC region. Because the area incorporates the current NEAFC closures the management or at least enforcement of measures may be easier. If OSPAR protection is implemented that incorporates these closures, management measures may succeed better than if the areas were in addition to the NEAFC closures.

2.4. Potential damage to the area by human activities

For seamount habitats, the most damaging industry operating in the North East Atlantic is deep sea and high seas fishing (OSPAR, 2007). Seamount related fisheries represent a significant proportion of the total high seas fish catch. Of all the deep-sea fisheries, most target species are associated with seamounts (Brewin *et al.*, 2007). Historically seamount research has lagged behind, or at best paralleled seamount exploitation (Brewin *et al.*, 2007). This is clearly shown by the fact that there is very little available information about the biology of Altair seamount. There is a real threat that as shallower fish stocks are depleted, the focus will turn to further exploitation of the deep ocean and the seamounts of the high seas (Roberts, 2002; Clark *et al.*, 2007). There is also the consideration that as seamounts within the OSPAR area are closed to fishing, fishing effort will become concentrated on remaining unprotected seamounts (Hilborn *et al.*, 2006; Shears *et al.*, 2006). As there is evidence that fishing has occurred within the NEAFC fishery closure over Altair seamount, fishing still represents a threat to this seamount (ICES, 2007). Therefore Altair seamount warrants protection in the form of a marine protected area.

Bioprospecting on seamounts for possible sources of biotechnology (for example bacteria on hydrothermal vents) may occur in the future (Gubbay, 2003). However, no information is known about bioprospecting within the designated area and it seems more likely that this will occur around hydrothermal vents in the near future (Synnes, 2007).

There is no information about mining within or near the marine protected area. In the future, exploitation of seamounts by humans could expand in scope. A possible threat could be mineral exploitation and mining their deeper cobalt crusts, (Probert, 1999).

2.5. Scientific value

As has already been mentioned scientific research on seamounts often lags far behind their exploitation (Brewin *et al.*, 2007). Scientific knowledge of seamounts in general is poorer than for many other marine habitats (Gubbay, 2003). At present there is no information about the biological community within the marine protected area. As a seamount, which may potentially support more endemics than the younger seamounts of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, this area has high scientific value. The severe lack of knowledge about the designated area means protection is critical, using the

Precautionary Principle and then a basis for study and monitoring of the area should be developed, which will inform future decisions regarding spatial protection of similar habitats.

Much of the current focus of seamount research in the OSPAR region is on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge in the form of the MAR-ECO project (see Bergstad et al, 2008 for a description). Other seamount research programmes include the European Commission fifth framework programme called OASIS (Oceanic Seamounts: An Integrated Study) that has sponsored a series of expeditions to North Atlantic seamounts (primarily the Sedlo and Seine seamounts) (Brewin et al, 2007). OASIS is the epitome of the growing emphasis on interdisciplinary seamount research and has combined geologists, physical oceanographers, taxonomists, ecologists and conservation scientists on its repeated cruises (Brewin et al, 2007). The OASIS project concluded its fieldwork phase in 2005, however a more recent programme called EuroDEEP began (under the European Commission initiative called EuroCores) that will include seamounts in their study of deep-sea habitats (Brewin et al, 2007). The Census of Marine Life also launched a programme in 2005 that focused on seamounts, the Census of Marine Life on Seamounts (CenSeam) (Brewin et al, 2007). The CenSeam programme has several goals including the co-ordination and expansion of existing research through developing standard methods and reporting and also to aggregate existing data by further developing the SeamountsOnline (<http://seamounts.sdsc.edu/>) an open-access portal for seamount data (Brewin et al, 2007).

C. Proposed management and protection status

1. Proposed management

The following actual or potential human activities taking place in the area will or might need regulation through a management plan:

- Deep sea and high seas fishing using fixed and mobile gears (both at the seabed and in the water column)
- Vessel traffic
- Seabed mining or other resource exploitation
- Bioprospecting
- Cable laying
- Military sonar
-

2. Any existing or proposed legal status

- I National legal status (e.g., nature reserve, national park):
N/A High seas area
- II Other international legal status (e.g., NATURA 2000, Ramsar):
NEAFC Fishery Closure (until 31st December 2008)

Presented by

Contracting Party: Portugal (Government of the Azores)

Organisation: Dept of Oceanography and Fisheries, (Contact person: Ricardo Serrão Santos), University of the Azores (on behalf of the Government of the Azores)

Date: 10 May 2010

References

- Bergstad, O.A., Falkenbaugh, T., Astthorsson, O.S., Byrkjedal, I., Gebruk, A.V., Piatkowski, U., Priede, I.G., Santos, R.S., Vecchione, M., Lorange, P. & Gordon, J.D.M. (2008) Towards improved understanding of the diversity and abundance patterns of the mid ocean ridge macro- and megafauna. *Deep-Sea Research II* **55**: 1 – 5.
- Brewin, P.E., Stocks, K.I. & Menezes, G. (2007) A History of Seamount Research. Chapter 3. Pp 41-61. In Pitcher, T.J., Morato, T., Hart, P.J.B., Clark, M.R., Haggan, N. and Santos, R.S. (eds) *Seamounts: Ecology, Conservation and Management*. Fish and Aquatic Resources Series, Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
- Clark, M.R., Tittensor, D., Rogers, A.D., Brewin, P., Schlacher, T., Rowden, A., Stocks, K. & Consalvey, M. (2006). Seamounts, deep-sea corals and fisheries: vulnerability of deep-sea corals to fishing on seamounts beyond areas of national jurisdiction. UNEPWCMC, Cambridge, UK.
- Davies, A.J., Roberts, J.M. & Hall-Spencer, J., (2007) Preserving deep-sea natural heritage: Emerging issues in offshore conservation and management. *Biological Conservation* **138**: 299-312.
- Deng, R., Dichmont, C., Milton, D., Haywood, M., Vance, D., Hall, N. & Die, D. (2005) Can vessel monitoring system data also be used to study trawling intensity and population depletion? The example of Australia's northern prawn fishery. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **62 (3)**: 611-622.
- Devine, J.A., Baker, K.D. & Haedrich, R.L. (2006) Deep-sea fish qualify as endangered. *Nature* **495**: 29.
- Dinter, W.P. (2001) Biogeography of the OSPAR Maritime Area. A synopsis and synthesis of biogeographical distribution patterns described for the North-East Atlantic. – *Angewandte Landschaftsökologie* Vol. 43, German Federal Agency for Nature Conservation, Bonn. 166 pp.
- Epp, D. & Smoot, N.C. (1989) Distribution of seamounts in the North Atlantic. *Nature* **337**: 254 – 257.
- FAO (2007) Draft International Guidelines for the Management of Deep-Sea Fisheries in the High Seas. FAO TC:DSF/2008/2.
- Gubbay, S. (2003) Seamounts of the North East Atlantic. OASIS, Hamburg and WWF, Germany, Frankfurt am Main, November 2003.
- Hilborn, R., Micheli, F. & De Leo, G.A. (2006) Integrating marine protected areas with catch regulation. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **63(3)**: 642 – 649.
- ICES (2007) Report of the Working Group on Deep-water Ecology (WGDEC), 26-28 February 2007. ICES CM 2007/ACE:01 Ref. LRC. 61 pp.
- Koslow, J.A., Gowlett-Holmes, K., Lowry, J.K., O'Hara, T., Poore, G.C.B. & Williams, A. (2001). Seamount benthic macrofauna off southern Tasmania: community structure and impacts of trawling. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **213**: 111-125.
- Kourti, N., Shepherd, I., Schwartz, G. & Pavlakis, P. (2001) Integrating spaceborne SAR imagery into operational systems for fisheries monitoring. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing* **27 (4)**: 291-305.
- Kourti, N., Shepherd, I., Greidanus, H., Alvarez, M., Aresu, E., Bauna, T., Chesworth, J., Lemoine, G., Schwartz, G. (2005) Integrating remote sensing in fisheries control. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* **12 (5)**: 295-307.
- Kyne, P.M. & Simpfendorfer, C.A. (2007) A collation and summarization of available data on deepwater chondrichthyans: biodiversity, life history and fisheries. A report prepared by the IUCN SSC Shark Specialist Group for the Marine Conservation Biology Institute. February 2007. pp 137.

- Lack, M., Short, K., & Willock, A. (2003). Managing risk and uncertainty in deep-sea fisheries: lessons from Orange Roughy. TRAFFIC Oceania and WWF Endangered Seas Programme, 73pp.
- Marr, S. & Hall-Spencer, J.M. (2002) UK coral reefs. *The Ecologist* **32 (4)**: 36-37.
- Murawski, S.A., Wigley, S.E., Fogarty, M.J., Rago, P.J. & Mountain, D.G. (2005) Effort distribution and catch patterns adjacent to temperate MPAs. *ICES Journal Of Marine Science* **62 (6)**: 1150-1167.
- OSPAR Commission (2003). Initial OSPAR List of Threatened and/or Declining Species and Habitats. OSPAR Commission ISBN 1-904426-12-3
- OSPAR Commission (2007) Annual Report of the OSPAR Commission 2006/2007. OSPAR Commission ISBN 1-905859-84-9
- Probert P.K. (1999) Seamounts, sanctuaries and sustainability: moving towards deep-sea conservation. *Aquatic Conservation* **9**: 601-605
- Roberts, C.M. (2002) Deep impact: the rising toll of fishing in the deep sea. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **17(5)**: 242 – 245.
- Rogers, A.D., Clark, M.R., Hall-Spencer, J.M. & Gjerde, K.M. (2008) The Science behind the Guidelines: A Scientific Guide to the FAO Draft International Guidelines (December 2007) for the Management of Deep-Sea Fisheries in the High Seas and Examples of How the Guidelines may be Practically Implemented. IUCN, Switzerland 2008.
- Shears, N.T., Grace, R.V., Usmar, N.R., Kerr, V. & Babcock, R.C. (2006) Long-term trends in lobster populations in a partially protected vs. no-take Marine Park. *Biological Conservation* **132**: 222 – 231.
- Synnes, M. (2007) Bioprospecting of organisms from the deep-sea: scientific and environmental aspects. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy* **9(1)**: 53 – 59.
- UNEP (2007) Report of the Expert Workshop on Ecological Criteria and Biogeographic Classification Systems for Marine Areas in Need of Protection. Azores, Portugal, 2-4 October 2007. UNEP/CBD/EWS.MPA/1/2
- Worm, B., Lotze, H.K. & Myers, R.A. (2003). Predator diversity hotspots in the blue ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **100**: 9884-9888.

Annex 1

Species and habitats of special interest for the Altair Seamount-MPA

A. Habitats

Threatened and/or declining Habitats⁹

Seamounts

Coral Gardens

Other Features of special concern

Deepwater and epipelagic ecosystems, including their function for migratory species

Habitats associated with seamount structures, including their function as recruitment and spawning areas

Benthopelagic habitats and associated communities, including commercially fished species

Hard substrate habitats and associated epibenthos, including cold water corals and sponges

Soft sediment habitats and associated benthos, including "coral gardens" of non-scleractinian corals

B. Species

Threatened and/or declining Species¹⁰

Other Species of special concern

Cetaceans

Deep water sharks

Oceanic seabirds like Cory's Shearwater

⁹ According to the OSPAR List of threatened and/or declining Species and Habitats (OSPAR Ref. No.: 2008-6)

¹⁰ Based on their known geographic distributions and habitat associations, the presence of threatened species, including Orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*), Leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*), Loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*), Portuguese dogfish (*Centroscymnus coelolepis*), Gulper shark (*Centrophorus granulosus*), and Leafscale gulper shark (*Centrophorus squamosus*), is strongly suspected, but remains to be proven by direct observation.



New Court
48 Carey Street
London WC2A 2JQ
United Kingdom

t: +44 (0)20 7430 5200
f: +44 (0)20 7430 5225
e: secretariat@ospar.org
www.ospar.org

**OSPAR's vision is of a clean, healthy and biologically diverse
North-East Atlantic used sustainably**

ISBN 978-1-907390-90-6
Publication Number: 549/2011

© OSPAR Commission, 2011. Permission may be granted by the publishers for the report to be wholly or partly reproduced in publications provided that the source of the extract is clearly indicated.

© Commission OSPAR, 2011. La reproduction de tout ou partie de ce rapport dans une publication peut être autorisée par l'Editeur, sous réserve que l'origine de l'extrait soit clairement mentionnée.